

# INEQUALITIES FOR POLYNOMIALS ON THE UNIT INTERVAL<sup>(1)</sup>

BY

Q. I. RAHMAN AND G. SCHMEISSER

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$  be a polynomial of degree at most  $n$  with real coefficients. Generalizing certain results of I. Schur related to the well-known inequalities of Chebyshev and Markov we prove that if  $p_n(z)$  has at most  $n - 1$  distinct zeros in  $(-1, 1)$ , then

$$|a_n| < 2^{n-1} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^{2n} \max_{-1 < x < 1} |p_n(x)|,$$

$$\max_{-1 < x < 1} |p'_n(x)| < \left( n \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 \max_{-1 < x < 1} |p_n(x)|.$$

**1. Introduction.** Let  $p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$  be a polynomial of degree at most  $n$ . According to a well-known result of A. Markov [4],

$$(1) \quad \max_{-1 < x < 1} |p'_n(x)| \leq n^2 \max_{-1 < x < 1} |p_n(x)|.$$

In (1) equality holds if and only if  $p_n(z)$  is a constant multiple of  $T_n(z)$  where

$$T_n(z) = 2^{n-1} \prod_{\nu=1}^n \left\{ z - \cos \left( \left( \nu - \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi / n \right) \right\}$$

is the so-called Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree  $n$ .

The influence of the location of the zeros of  $p_n(z)$  on the bound in Markov's inequality (1) has been studied by Schur [7], Erdős [1], Eröd [2], Rahman [5], Scheick [6] and others. It was shown by Erdős [1] that if all the zeros of  $p_n(z)$  are real but lie outside  $(-1, 1)$ , then (1) can be replaced by

$$(2) \quad \max_{-1 < x < 1} |p'_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} en \max_{-1 < x < 1} |p_n(x)|.$$

Scheick [6] obtained the same estimate under the weaker assumption that  $p_n(z)$  is real for real  $z$  and does not vanish in  $|z| < 1$ . Schur [7] prescribed one of the zeros of  $p_n(z)$  to lie at one of the end points of the interval  $[-1, +1]$  and showed that then

---

Received by the editors June 11, 1975 and, in revised form, January 2, 1976.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 30A06, 30A40, 26A75; Secondary 26A84, 26A82.

*Key words and phrases.* Extremal problems, inequalities for polynomials, Chebyshev's inequality, Markov's inequality.

<sup>(1)</sup>This work was supported by National Research Council of Canada Grant A-3081.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

$$(3) \quad \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p'_n(x)| \leq \left( n \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p_n(x)|.$$

An analogous problem concerning Bernstein's inequality for polynomials on the unit disk was recently studied by Giroux and Rahman [3, Theorems 1, 2].

With respect to the problem considered by Schur it is natural to ask what can be said about

$$\left( \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p'_n(x)| \right) / \left( \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p_n(x)| \right)$$

if we simply assume that  $p_n(z)$  is a real polynomial of degree  $n$  having at most  $n - 1$  distinct zeros in  $(-1, 1)$ . This question is answered in Theorem 1.

Improving upon the well-known estimate of Chebyshev

$$(4) \quad |a_n| \leq 2^{n-1} \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p_n(x)|$$

for the leading coefficient of a polynomial  $p_n(z)$  of degree  $n$  in terms of  $\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p_n(x)|$ , Schur [7, Theorem III\*] proved that if  $p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  vanishing at  $+1$  or  $-1$ , then

$$(5) \quad |a_n| \leq 2^{n-1} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^{2n} \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p_n(x)|.$$

We show that the same estimate holds (see Theorem 2 below) for all real polynomials having at most  $n - 1$  distinct zeros in  $(-1, 1)$ .

## 2. Statement of results.

*Notation.* We shall denote by  $\mathcal{P}_n$  the class of all polynomials  $p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$  of degree  $n$  with real coefficients.

**THEOREM 1.** *Inequality (3) holds for all polynomials  $p_n(z)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  which have at most  $n - 1$  distinct zeros in  $(-1, 1)$ . Equality is attained if and only if  $p_n(z)$  is a constant multiple of*

$$T_n \left( \pm \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 z + \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 \right).$$

In particular (3) holds for all polynomials  $p_n(z)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  which vanish at  $+1$  or  $-1$ . Here the restriction that  $p_n(z)$  has real coefficients can be easily dropped. In fact, if  $p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$  is an arbitrary polynomial of degree  $n$  vanishing at  $+1$  or  $-1$  and the maximum of  $|p'_n(x)|$  in  $[-1, 1]$  is attained at  $x_0 \in [-1, 1]$  where  $p'_n(x_0) = |p'_n(x_0)|e^{i\gamma}$ , then  $A_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \operatorname{Re}(a_k e^{-i\gamma}) z^k$  is a polynomial in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  vanishing at  $+1$  or  $-1$  with

$$\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |A'_n(x)| = \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p'_n(x)|, \quad \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |A_n(x)| \leq \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p_n(x)|.$$

Since by Theorem 1,

$$\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |A'_n(x)| \leq \left( n \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |A_n(x)|,$$

we see that (3) holds for all polynomials  $p_n(z)$  of degree  $n$  vanishing at  $+1$  or  $-1$ . We thus get an alternative proof of Schur's result in its full generality.

Note that if in Theorem 1,  $p_n(z)$  is allowed to have complex coefficients, then nothing better than Markov's result can hold.

**THEOREM 2.** *Inequality (5) holds for all polynomials  $p_n(z)$  in  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  which have at most  $n - 1$  distinct zeros in  $(-1, 1)$ . Equality is attained if and only if  $p_n(z)$  is a constant multiple of  $T_n(\pm(\cos(\pi/4n))^2z + (\sin(\pi/4n))^2)$ .*

Here again the coefficients of  $p_n(z)$  cannot be allowed to be complex. Nevertheless, Schur's result that (5) holds for *all* polynomials  $p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$  vanishing at  $+1$  or  $-1$  can be easily deduced.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2, we obtain

**COROLLARY.** *All the zeros of a monic polynomial  $p_n(z) = z^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k z^k$  in  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  with*

$$\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p_n(x)| < 2^{1-n} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^{-2n}$$

*are distinct and lie in  $(-1, 1)$ .*

### 3. Lemmas.

**Notation.** We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{T}_n$  the class of all real trigonometric polynomials

$$t(\theta) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=1}^n (a_\nu \cos \nu\theta + b_\nu \sin \nu\theta)$$

with  $a_n^2 + b_n^2 = 4^{1-n}$ , and having a double zero at  $\theta = 0$ , i.e.

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu = 0 = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu b_\nu.$$

Theorem 2 will be deduced from the following two lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $t(\theta)$  be a trigonometric polynomial in the class  $\mathfrak{T}_n$  with  $\max_{-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi} |t(\theta)| = M$ . If  $|t(\theta)|$  is equal to  $M$  at  $2n - 1$  different points in  $[-\pi, \pi)$ , then*

$$t(\theta) = \pm 2^{1-n} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^{-2n} T_n \left( - \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 \cos \theta + \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 \right),$$

where, as usual,  $T_n(z)$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree  $n$ .

**PROOF.** We show first that under the assumptions of the lemma,  $t(\theta)$  is a cosine polynomial. Since  $t(0) = t'(0) = 0$ , we see that  $t(\theta)$  has exactly  $2n$  critical points in  $[-\pi, \pi)$ , which we may list as

$$-\pi \leq \varphi_1 < \varphi_2 < \cdots < \varphi_{2n} < \pi,$$

where for some  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq 2n$ )  $\varphi_k = 0$ . Further, in each of the subintervals  $[-\pi, 0)$  and  $(0, \pi)$  the signs of  $t(\theta)$  at consecutive critical points are alternating (provided the subinterval in question contains at least two critical points). If  $\varphi_j$  and  $\varphi_{j+1}$  ( $j \neq k \neq j+1$ ) are two consecutive critical points of  $t(\theta)$  such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} t(\varphi_j) = -\operatorname{sgn} t(\varphi_{j+1}),$$

and

$$|t(\varphi_j)| = |t(\varphi_{j+1})| = \max_{-\pi \leq \theta < \pi} |t(\theta)|,$$

then for every  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ) the graph of  $(1 - \varepsilon)t(-\theta)$  crosses the graph of  $t(\theta)$  in  $(\varphi_j, \varphi_{j+1})$ . Hence, whatever  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq 2n$ ) may be,  $s(\varepsilon, \theta) = t(\theta) - (1 - \varepsilon)t(-\theta)$  has at least  $2n - 3$  zeros in

$$E = \{\theta: \varphi_1 \leq \theta \leq \varphi_{2n}\} \cap \{\theta: |\theta| \geq \delta\}$$

where  $\delta$  is a suitably small positive number not depending on  $\varepsilon$ . As  $E$  is a closed set the number of zeros of  $s(\varepsilon, \theta)$  in  $E$  cannot decrease when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $s(\theta) = t(\theta) - t(-\theta)$  has at least  $2n - 3$  zeros in  $E$ . If  $\varphi_1 = -\pi$ , then taking the periodicity of  $t(\theta)$  into account we see that one of the zeros of  $s(\varepsilon, \theta)$  lying in  $E$  tends to  $-\pi$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where it becomes a zero of multiplicity at least two. If  $\varphi_1 > -\pi$ , then  $s(\theta)$  has at least a simple zero at  $-\pi$ , since  $t(-\pi) = t(\pi)$ . Besides, in any case  $s(\theta)$  has a zero of multiplicity at least three at  $\theta = 0$ . Hence  $s(\theta)$  has at least  $2n + 1$  zeros in  $[-\pi, \pi]$  if a multiple zero is counted as many times as its multiplicity. Since  $s(\theta)$  is of degree at most  $n$  this is possible only if  $s(\theta) \equiv 0$ , i.e.  $t(\theta)$  is a cosine polynomial.

A similar discussion shows that taking into account the multiplicity of the zero at  $\theta = 0$  each of the two polynomials

$$t(\theta) \pm 2^{1-n} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^{-2n} T_n \left( - \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 \cos \theta + \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 \right)$$

has at least  $2n - 1$  zeros in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . But clearly, one of these two polynomials is of degree at most  $n - 1$ , and hence must be identically zero. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $t(\theta)$  be a trigonometric polynomial in the class  $\mathfrak{T}_n$  with  $\max_{-\pi \leq \theta < \pi} |t(\theta)| = M$ . If  $|t(\theta)|$  is equal to  $M$  at less than  $2n - 1$  different points in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , then  $t(\theta)$  cannot be of smallest supremum norm in  $\mathfrak{T}_n$ .*

**PROOF.** We may assume that  $|t(\theta)|$  attains its maximum at exactly  $2n - 2$  points in  $[-\pi, \pi]$  with alternating signs in the subintervals  $[-\pi, 0)$  and  $(0, \pi)$ , for otherwise we can add a trigonometric polynomial of degree less than  $n$  such that the resulting trigonometric polynomial still belongs to  $\mathfrak{T}_n$ , but has smaller supremum norm.

Since  $t'(\theta)$  is a real trigonometric polynomial it has an even number of zeros in  $[-\pi, \pi)$ . Hence either  $\xi = 0$  is a zero of  $t(\theta)$  of multiplicity three, or else there is one (and only one) critical point  $\eta$  of  $t(\theta)$  other than 0 with  $|t(\eta)| < M$ . It is easily seen that  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  must be consecutive critical points if  $t(\theta)$  is to be a trigonometric polynomial of smallest supremum norm in  $\mathfrak{T}_n$ . In any case, we may assume without loss of generality, that we have two consecutive critical points  $\xi = 0$  and  $\eta$  with  $\xi \leq \eta$  and  $0 = |t(\xi)| \leq |t(\eta)| < M$ . If a multiple zero is counted as many times as its multiplicity then we see that  $t(\theta)$  has a total number of  $2n$  zeros  $\theta_\nu$  ( $1 \leq \nu \leq 2n$ ) in  $[-\pi, \pi)$ , which may be arranged as

$$-\pi \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq \dots \leq \theta_{2n} < \pi.$$

Putting  $\theta_0 = \theta_{2n} - 2\pi$  and  $\theta_{2n+1} = \theta_1 + 2\pi$ , we have for some  $k$  ( $2 \leq k \leq 2n$ ),

$$\theta_{k-2} < \theta_{k-1} = \theta_k = \xi = 0 \leq \eta \leq \theta_{k+1}.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} |t(\theta)| &= 2^n \prod_{\nu=1}^{2n} \left| \sin \frac{\theta - \theta_\nu}{2} \right| = 2^{-n} \prod_{\nu=1}^{2n} |e^{i(\theta - \theta_\nu)/2} - e^{-i(\theta - \theta_\nu)/2}| \\ (6) \qquad &= 2^{-n} \prod_{\nu=1}^{2n} |e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta_\nu}|, \end{aligned}$$

it is sufficient to show that we can decrease the maximum modulus of  $F(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^{2n} (z - e^{i\theta_\nu})$  on the unit circle by moving some of the  $\theta_\nu$ 's on the real axis keeping  $\theta_{k-1} = \theta_k$ . For this purpose we consider

$$F(\alpha, z) = \frac{D(\alpha, z)}{D(0, z)} F(z),$$

where

$$D(\alpha, z) = (z - e^{-i\alpha})^2 (z - e^{i(\theta_{k+1} + 2\alpha)}).$$

On discussing the behaviour of

$$|D(\alpha, e^{i\theta})| = 8 \left\{ \sin \left( \frac{\theta + \alpha}{2} \right) \right\}^2 \left| \sin \left( \frac{\theta - \theta_{k+1} - 2\alpha}{2} \right) \right|$$

we see that, indeed, for small positive  $\alpha$

$$\max_{|z|=1} |F(\alpha, z)| < \max_{|z|=1} |F(z)|.$$

Through the relationship (6) there corresponds to  $F(\alpha, z)$  a trigonometric polynomial  $t(\alpha, \theta)$  which is simply a translation of an element in  $\mathfrak{T}_n$  and has smaller supremum norm than  $t(\theta)$ .

**4. Proofs of the theorems.** We will prove Theorem 2 first since we shall need it for the proof of Theorem 1.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** We will prove the equivalent fact that if  $p_n(z)$  is a monic polynomial in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  having at most  $n - 1$  zeros in  $(-1, 1)$  and  $M = \max_{-1 < x < 1} |p_n(x)|$ , then

$$(7) \quad M \geq 2^{1-n} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^{-2n}$$

where equality is possible if and only if  $p_n(z) = 2^{1-n} (\cos(\pi/4n))^{-2n} P_*(z)$  or  $p_n(z) = (-1)^n 2^{1-n} (\cos(\pi/4n))^{-2n} P_*(-z)$ , where

$$P_*(z) = T_n \left( \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 z + \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 \right).$$

Since  $2 \geq (\cos(\pi/4n))^{-2n}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), Chebyshev's inequality (4) shows that (7) holds for all monic polynomials of degree less than  $n$ . If  $p_n(z)$  has a real zero outside  $[-1, 1]$  or pairs of complex conjugate zeros,  $\max_{-1 < x < 1} |p_n(x)|$  can be decreased by moving these zeros appropriately and keeping them outside the unit interval. So, we may suppose that  $p_n(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  vanishing at one of the end points of the unit interval, or having a double zero in  $(-1, 1)$ . Then the trigonometric polynomial  $p_n(\cos \theta)$  is also of degree  $n$  and has at least one double zero in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . For a suitable choice of  $\alpha$  the trigonometric polynomial  $t(\theta) = p_n(\cos(\theta - \alpha))$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_n$ . Lemmas 1 and 2 show that

$$\pm 2^{1-n} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^{-2n} P_*(-\cos \theta)$$

are the only elements of smallest supremum norm in  $\mathcal{T}_n$ . Hence (7) holds, with equality if and only if

$$p_n(z) = 2^{1-n} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^{-2n} P_*(z) \quad \text{or} \\ p_n(z) = (-1)^n 2^{1-n} \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^{-2n} P_*(-z).$$

With this Theorem 2 is proved.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** Without loss of generality we may restrict ourselves to polynomials whose absolute value does not exceed 1 on the unit interval. Now let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the (sub-) class consisting of all polynomials  $p_n(z)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  which have at most  $n - 1$  distinct zeros in  $(-1, 1)$  and which satisfy  $|p_n(x)| \leq 1$  for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ . Then

$$P_*(\pm z) = T_n \left( \pm \left( \cos \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 z + \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{4n} \right)^2 \right) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |P'_*(x)| = (n \cos(\pi/4n))^2 = P'_*(1).$$

In view of this and the fact that for a polynomial  $p_n(z)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  for which  $\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p_n(x)| \leq 1$  we have [7, p. 275]

$$\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p'_n(x)| \leq \frac{n^2}{2} < P'_*(1) \quad (n > 2),$$

whenever  $\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |p'_n(x)|$  is attained in  $(-1, 1)$ , it is enough to show (in order to establish Theorem 1) that  $|p'_n(1)| \leq (n \cos(\pi/4n))^2 = P'_*(1)$  for all  $p_n(z) \in \mathcal{C}$  with equality if and only if  $p_n(z) = \pm P_*(z)$ .

Let  $Q_*(z)$  be a polynomial in  $\mathcal{C}$  for which

$$(8) \quad |Q'_*(1)| = \sup_{p_n(z) \in \mathcal{C}} |p'_n(1)|.$$

Since  $(n-1)^2 < (n \cos(\pi/4n))^2 = P'_*(1)$ , we see by A. Markov's theorem that  $Q_*(z)$  is of degree  $n$ . Suppose

$$(9) \quad |Q'_*(1)| > |P'_*(1)|.$$

Denote by  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k$  the zeros (multiple zeros appearing as many times as their multiplicity) of  $Q_*(z)$  lying in  $(-1, 1)$ . We distinguish three cases:

Case (i). If  $k \leq n-2$ , then for suitable choice of the real quantity  $\sigma$

$$Q(z) = Q_*(z) + \sigma(z-1)^2 \prod_{j=1}^k (z - \xi_j)$$

is a polynomial of degree  $n$  with  $Q'(1) = Q'_*(1)$ , and

$$\mu = \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |Q(x)| < 1,$$

so that  $\mu^{-1}Q(z)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ , but  $|\mu^{-1}Q'(1)| > |Q'_*(1)|$ . This contradicts (8).

Case (ii). If  $k = n-1$ , we denote by  $\xi_n$  the (real) zero of  $Q_*(z)$  lying outside  $(-1, 1)$ . Note that if  $\xi_n \leq -1$  then the graph of  $P'_*(1)Q_*(x)/Q'_*(1)$  would cross that of  $P'_*(x)$  at least  $n$  times on  $[-1, 1)$ . Hence the polynomial

$$S(x) = P'_*(x) - \frac{P'_*(1)}{Q'_*(1)} Q'_*(x),$$

which is clearly  $\neq 0$  would have all its zeros in  $[-1, 1)$  which is a contradiction since  $S'(1) = 0$ . On the other hand, the same reasoning can be used to show that in the case  $\xi_n > 1$  the largest critical point  $\eta$  of  $Q_*(x)$  cannot be larger than 1. But if  $\eta < 1 \leq \xi_n$ , then for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  the polynomial  $Q_*(z + \varepsilon)$  still belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $|Q'_*(1 + \varepsilon)| > |Q'_*(1)|$ , which contradicts (8).

Case (iii). If  $k = n$ , then all the zeros of  $Q_*(z)$  lie in  $(-1, 1)$ , and at least one of them is of multiplicity at least two. Denote by  $p$  and  $q$  the coefficients

of  $z^n$  in  $P_*(z)$  and  $Q_*(z)$  respectively. Without loss of generality we may assume  $q > 0$ . By Theorem 2 we have  $p > q$ . Since all the zeros of  $Q_*(z)$  lie in  $(-1, 1)$ ,  $Q'_*(x)$  is monotone increasing for  $x \geq 1$ . We must have  $Q'_*(1) = 1$ , because otherwise for appropriate  $\varepsilon > 0$  the polynomial  $Q_*(z + \varepsilon)$  would contradict the extremal property of  $Q_*(z)$ . Consequently, if

$$U(z) = P_*(z) - Q_*(z),$$

then

$$U(1) = 0, U'(1) < 0,$$

and  $\operatorname{sgn} U(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(p - q) = +1$  for  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , so that  $U(x)$  has a zero on  $(1, \infty)$ . Furthermore, comparing the graphs of  $P_*(x)$  and  $(1 - \varepsilon)Q_*(x)$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero, we see that  $U(x)$  has at least (and hence exactly)  $n - 1$  zeros in  $(-1, 1]$ . Therefore,  $U(x) \neq 0$  for  $x < -1$ . It follows that

$$\operatorname{sgn} U(x) = \operatorname{sgn} (-1)^n (p - q) = (-1)^n \quad \text{for } x \leq -1,$$

but

$$\operatorname{sgn} U(-1) = -\operatorname{sgn} Q'_*(-1) = (-1)^{n+1} \operatorname{sgn} q = (-1)^{n+1},$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence in any case  $|Q'_*(1)| = |P'_*(1)|$ . Investigating the above three cases under this hypothesis, we obtain using similar reasonings that  $Q_*(x) = \pm P_*(x)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős, *On extremal properties of the derivatives of polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2) **41** (1940), 310–313. MR 1, 323.
2. János Erőd, *On the lower bound of the maximum of certain polynomials*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok. **46** (1939), 58–83. (Hungarian with German summary)
3. A. Giroux and Q. I. Rahman, *Inequalities for polynomials with a prescribed zero*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **193** (1974), 67–98. MR 50 #4914.
4. A. Markov, *On a problem of D. I. Mendelev*, Zap. Imp. Akad. Nauk **62** (1889), 1–24. (Russian)
5. Q. I. Rahman, *Extremal properties of the successive derivatives of polynomials and rational functions*, Math. Scand. **15** (1964), 121–130. MR 33 #4238.
6. J. T. Scheick, *Inequalities for derivatives of polynomials of special type*, J. Approximation Theory **6** (1972), 354–358. MR 49 #7653.
7. I. Schur, *Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages eines Polynoms in einem gegebenem Intervall*, Math. Z. **4** (1919), 271–287.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MONTREAL, MONTREAL, QUEBEC H3C 3J7, CANADA

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT, ERLANGEN-NÜRNBERG, 8520 ERLANGEN, BISMARCKSTRASSE 1  $\frac{1}{2}$ , WEST GERMANY